

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,121	06/22/2001	Peter J. Dronzek JR.	559-018	8226
759	07/11/2003			
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1185 Avenue of New York, NY			CARTER, MONICA SMITH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	13
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			Λ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/888,121	DRONZEK ET AL.	
, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monica S. Carter	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ich places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Sec	e MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2	sion fee under ) as set forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 June 2003</u>. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			in
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 31-34.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			60 1
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A. L. WELLINGTON	N gran
BEST AVAILABLE CO	<b>PY</b>	PERVISORY PATENT E) TECHNOLOGY CENTER	KAMÍNER



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applican's newly set forth claim limitations (i.e., "said notches being located at the interface of the permanen and removable sections") require further search and/or consideration.

BEST AVAILABLE COPT